	_	1 dintegrate teams and personnel so that the structure enables efficient and effective service and removes duplication. Where staff and teams are pupil facing; improve outcomes.
	Agree	Comments
1	Υ	This has strong potential for improving focus and challenge on pupil learning, especially if it results in governor 'take-up' of development in meeting the needs of unteachable pupils.
2	Υ	How will you know if this will be successful – what measurements can you take?
		Risk that the outcomes have already been identified and that further additional / better improvements may not be identified
3	Υ	
4	N	Struggle to see how regrouping teams will improve their effectiveness. Is it a physical regrouping, moving them together to improve communication? Believe that what is more important is the Aims and Objectives of these groups and the regular reassessment of these A & O to ensure that they meet the needs of their customers (both internal & external). Shouldn't need a 3 year EIT exercise to remove duplication. Can't see how regrouping teams will "strengthen the focus on Gov. Development".
5	Υ	
6		
	Y	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		I have no knowledge of the implications to the staff involved or the probable costs. It would appear to be a logical proposal.
14	Υ	
15	Υ	
16	Υ	
17	Υ	
18		
19		
20		
21	NA	I can't really agree or disagree with this proposal. Perhaps I should know this, but I'm not sure I know what the LACE team or Returners (Redhill) are. But if the proposal is to move some teams into School Effectiveness, then wouldn't they be integrated into School Effectiveness by definition? Would this move have any implication for employment of staff in those 3 teams? I think I'd need some more explanation of the proposal before I could comment further.
	Proposal 2 Review and strengthen business models for "buy back" services so that we can compete with other providers of the same services.	
	Agree	Comments
1	Υ	These services represent very good value for money relative to the market in general

2	v	How will this be measured? (Time / cost / quality)
3		I welcome this proposal as I feel that schools wish to work wherever possible with LA providers.
	1	However, as identified in the above example, the service market will determine where best value
		·
	.,	for money will be found.
4	Υ	Should not need a 3 year EIT exercise to carry this out.
		Regular re-visiting and re-evaluation of the Business Plan for the Prospectus of Services to ensure
		that it meets internal and external customer needs is a "given" requirement of its
		management(at least it would be in the commercial{non-educational} world).
5		
6		
	Υ	
8		
9	Υ	
10	Υ	
11	Υ	Whilst in principle I agree there is insufficient detail at this stage to make a meaningful decision.
12	Υ	
13	Υ	Very necessary from a school perspective. Schools will only work in partnership with the La if it is
		cost effective. Governing bodies have a legal requirement to ensure Best Value.
14	Υ	
15	Υ	Schools should be encouraged to buy back where possible to enable better understanding,
		between schools and the Local Authority
16	Υ	
17	Υ	
18	Υ	
19	Υ	
20	Υ	
21	NA	Again, I can't really agree or disagree, since I'm not sure what the proposal is proposing.
		What does 'review and strengthen business models' mean? Make them cheaper? Offer a wider
		range of services? And in any case, how is it proposed to carry this out?
		My only comment here is that I believe our school is very appreciative of the services provided by
		the above-mentioned units – governor support, school improvement – and would like to see
		these retained as LA services.
	Proposal	
	-	"whole system" school improvement model, rooted in collaboration with Stockton Schools so that
		uild capacity for school to school support and include a cost recovery mechanism for the LA and
		ting schools.
		-
	Agree	Comments
1	Y	As someone who has been involved in good partnerships I wholeheartedly support this ethos but
		we must also learn from procedural lessons related to the protocols for how such partnerships
		are brokered – an agreed protocol across all schools would be helpful – but needs flexibility too!
	.,	
2		
2		I strongly support this proposal. I feel that Campus Stockton can best be promoted through
		Stockton schools supporting each other and developing collaborative solutions to improve
3	Υ	Stockton schools supporting each other and developing collaborative solutions to improve education for all in the authority.
3	Υ	Stockton schools supporting each other and developing collaborative solutions to improve

6	Υ	
	Y	
8		
9		
10		
11		What does structure partnership mean. Consolidation of staffing/governor structure?? Good idea in principle but in practice I suspect ego's will get in the way. Having experienced this twice it has good intentions but fails due to insufficient discipline and would require strong LA intervention and guidance.
12	Υ	There is already much informal school to school support which would need to be maintained without cost recovery: reciprocal arrangements are in place within clusters and head teachers appreciate the support this arrangement offers.
13	Y	Agree with reservations depending on design of system. An interesting proposal but one that raises many questions. Apart from failing schools which other schools would take part? Recently St Patrick's assistance cost £650,000 when in special measures. Who pays the bill? Would failing schools have to pay large sums of budget to the LA for assistance?
14	Υ	
15	Υ	
16	Υ	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		Tentative agreement!
		If this means that we can keep school improvement under LA governance, then I'm in favour.
	Proposal	4
	_	ward options that create capacity and resources in business planning and commissioning and that ort schools in what they see as strategic partnership priorities.
	Agree	Comments
1		Capacity to respond to emerging strategic issues that a critical mass of schools share will be an essential element of transformation.
2	Υ	What benefits does the business case suggest that will be gained from this investment? How will you measure the benefits?
3	Υ	
4		Poor example-Safeguarding is a necessary individual requirement in every school, irrespective of this Proposal. What are "strategic partnership priorities" if they are not Federations, unless this relates to the sharing of administration services, such as Business Managers(Bursars)?.
5	Υ	
6		
	Y	
8		
9		
10		
10	1	

11 Y The largest failing between schools across the LA is inconsistency. LA should offer support/intervention around gap analysis and gap closure across all functions but leadership and management. 12 Y This is needed for ALL schools not just the top 20. In spite of years of experience as officer and regular CPD, there are still so many occasions when talking a complex is will lead to additional advice being offered which subsequently clarifies the way for will lead to additional advice being offered which subsequently clarifies the way for schools. 13 Y This would appear to be a basic requirement for the LA in it's role of working in passchools. 14 Y Shape Sha	
leadership and management.	
This is needed for ALL schools not just the top 20. In spite of years of experience as officer and regular CPD, there are still so many occasions when talking a complex is will lead to additional advice being offered which subsequently clarifies the way for the LA in it's role of working in paschools. 13 Y This would appear to be a basic requirement for the LA in it's role of working in paschools. 14 Y	2. C C C C C C C C C C
officer and regular CPD, there are still so many occasions when talking a complex is will lead to additional advice being offered which subsequently clarifies the way for the schools. 13 Y This would appear to be a basic requirement for the LA in it's role of working in passchools. 14 Y	as designated
will lead to additional advice being offered which subsequently clarifies the way for this would appear to be a basic requirement for the LA in it's role of working in particles and schools. 14 Y	_
13 Y This would appear to be a basic requirement for the LA in it's role of working in palschools. 14 Y 15 Y 16 Y 17 Y 18 Y 19 Y 20 Y 21 NA Sorry, but again I'm not at all sure I understand the proposal. What could those on the conditions of the conditions. Proposal 5 To review terms and conditions/contractual arrangements currently within the School Effect (Children, Schools and Complex Needs) Service as there are employees on a range of difference conditions. Agree Comments 1 NA Cannot comment – not enough knowledge 2 Y 1 feel that this is long overdue. However, I do not underestimate how difficult it is to such a review. 4 Y OK, provided that the intention is not to raise everyone to the highest common de such a review. 4 Y A definite requirement. I thought we had done this once before and lack of HR intallowed things to get out of synchronisation. 12 Y This would appear logical but I have no information as to the legal, financial and unsuch a review.	_
schools. 14 Y 15 Y 16 Y 17 Y 18 Y 19 Y 20 Y 21 NA Sorry, but again I'm not at all sure I understand the proposal. What could those operation of the proposal service as there are employees on a range of difference of the proposal service as there are employees on a range of difference of the proposal service as there are employees on a range of difference of the proposal service as there are employees on a range of difference of the proposal service as there are employees on a range of difference of the proposal service as there are employees on a range of difference of the proposal service as there are employees on a range of difference of the proposal service as there are employees on a range of difference of the proposal service service as there are employees on a range of difference of the proposal service service as there are employees on a range of difference of the proposal service as there are employees on a range of difference of the proposal service as there are employees on a range of difference of the proposal service as there are employees on a range of difference of the proposal service as there are employees on a range of difference of the proposal service as there are employees on a range of difference of the proposal service as there are employees on a range of difference of the proposal service as there are employees on a range of difference of the proposal service as there are employees on a range of difference of the proposal service as there are employees on a range of difference of the proposal service as the proposal service as there are employees on a range of difference of the proposal service as there are employees on a range of difference of the proposal service as there are employees on a range of difference of the proposal service as the pr	[,] forward.
schools. 14 Y 15 Y 16 Y 17 Y 18 Y 19 Y 20 Y 21 NA Sorry, but again I'm not at all sure I understand the proposal. What could those operation of the proposal service as there are employees on a range of difference of the proposal service as there are employees on a range of difference of the proposal service as there are employees on a range of difference of the proposal service as there are employees on a range of difference of the proposal service as there are employees on a range of difference of the proposal service as there are employees on a range of difference of the proposal service as there are employees on a range of difference of the proposal service as there are employees on a range of difference of the proposal service service as there are employees on a range of difference of the proposal service service as there are employees on a range of difference of the proposal service as there are employees on a range of difference of the proposal service as there are employees on a range of difference of the proposal service as there are employees on a range of difference of the proposal service as there are employees on a range of difference of the proposal service as there are employees on a range of difference of the proposal service as there are employees on a range of difference of the proposal service as there are employees on a range of difference of the proposal service as there are employees on a range of difference of the proposal service as there are employees on a range of difference of the proposal service as the proposal service as there are employees on a range of difference of the proposal service as there are employees on a range of difference of the proposal service as there are employees on a range of difference of the proposal service as the pr	
schools. 14 Y 15 Y 16 Y 17 Y 18 Y 20 Y 21 NA Sorry, but again I'm not at all sure I understand the proposal. What could those operation of the proposal	partnership with
14 Y 15 Y 16 Y 17 Y 18 Y 20 Y 21 NA Sorry, but again I'm not at all sure I understand the proposal. What could those operation of the proposal Section	
15 Y 16 Y 17 Y 18 Y 19 Y 20 Y 21 NA Sorry, but again I'm not at all sure I understand the proposal. What could those operation of the proposal Section	
16 Y 17 Y 18 Y 20 Y 20 Y 21 NA Sorry, but again I'm not at all sure I understand the proposal. What could those operation of the proposal S To review terms and conditions/contractual arrangements currently within the School Effect (Children, Schools and Complex Needs) Service as there are employees on a range of difference conditions. Agree Comments 1 NA Cannot comment — not enough knowledge 2 Y 3 Y I feel that this is long overdue. However, I do not underestimate how difficult it is to such a review. 4 Y OK, provided that the intention is not to raise everyone to the highest common de S Y 6 Y 7 Y 8 Y 9 Y 10 Y 11 Y A definite requirement. I thought we had done this once before and lack of HR intallowed things to get out of synchronisation. 12 Y 13 Y This would appear logical but I have no information as to the legal, financial and unsuch a review.	
17 Y 18 Y 19 Y 20 Y 21 NA Sorry, but again I'm not at all sure I understand the proposal. What could those operations of the proposal of the p	
18 Y	
19 Y 20 Y 21 NA Sorry, but again I'm not at all sure I understand the proposal. What could those operations of the proposal 5 To review terms and conditions/contractual arrangements currently within the School Effect (Children, Schools and Complex Needs) Service as there are employees on a range of difference onditions. Agree Comments 1 NA Cannot comment — not enough knowledge 2 Y 3 Y I feel that this is long overdue. However, I do not underestimate how difficult it is to such a review. 4 Y OK, provided that the intention is not to raise everyone to the highest common decomposed by the provided of the provided such as a provided provided by the provided such as a provided provided by the provided such as a provided provided by the provided by the provided such as a provided by the prov	
20 Y 21 NA Sorry, but again I'm not at all sure I understand the proposal. What could those operations of the proposal of the	
Proposal 5 To review terms and conditions/contractual arrangements currently within the School Effect (Children, Schools and Complex Needs) Service as there are employees on a range of difference conditions. Agree Comments 1 NA Cannot comment – not enough knowledge 2 Y 3 Y I feel that this is long overdue. However, I do not underestimate how difficult it is to such a review. 4 Y OK, provided that the intention is not to raise everyone to the highest common de 5 Y 6 Y 7 Y 8 Y 9 Y 10 Y 11 Y A definite requirement. I thought we had done this once before and lack of HR intallowed things to get out of synchronisation. 12 Y 13 Y This would appear logical but I have no information as to the legal, financial and unsuch a review.	
Proposal 5 To review terms and conditions/contractual arrangements currently within the School Effect (Children, Schools and Complex Needs) Service as there are employees on a range of difference conditions. Agree Comments 1 NA Cannot comment – not enough knowledge 2 Y 3 Y I feel that this is long overdue. However, I do not underestimate how difficult it is to such a review. 4 Y OK, provided that the intention is not to raise everyone to the highest common described by the such a review. 5 Y 6 Y 7 Y 8 Y 9 Y 10 Y 11 Y A definite requirement. I thought we had done this once before and lack of HR intentional allowed things to get out of synchronisation. 12 Y 13 Y This would appear logical but I have no information as to the legal, financial and unsuch a review.	
To review terms and conditions/contractual arrangements currently within the School Effect (Children, Schools and Complex Needs) Service as there are employees on a range of difference conditions. Agree Comments 1 NA Cannot comment – not enough knowledge 2 Y 3 Y I feel that this is long overdue. However, I do not underestimate how difficult it is to such a review. 4 Y OK, provided that the intention is not to raise everyone to the highest common decomposition of the highest common decompos	options be?
(Children, Schools and Complex Needs) Service as there are employees on a range of difference conditions. Agree Comments 1 NA Cannot comment – not enough knowledge 2 Y 3 Y I feel that this is long overdue. However, I do not underestimate how difficult it is to such a review. 4 Y OK, provided that the intention is not to raise everyone to the highest common de 5 Y 6 Y 7 Y 8 Y 9 Y 10 Y 11 Y A definite requirement. I thought we had done this once before and lack of HR into allowed things to get out of synchronisation. 12 Y 13 Y This would appear logical but I have no information as to the legal, financial and unsuch a review.	
conditions. Agree Comments 1 NA Cannot comment – not enough knowledge 2 Y 3 Y I feel that this is long overdue. However, I do not underestimate how difficult it is to such a review. 4 Y OK, provided that the intention is not to raise everyone to the highest common de 5 Y 6 Y 7 Y 8 Y 9 Y 10 Y 11 Y A definite requirement. I thought we had done this once before and lack of HR intiallowed things to get out of synchronisation. 12 Y 13 Y This would appear logical but I have no information as to the legal, financial and unsuch a review.	ectiveness
conditions. Agree Comments 1 NA Cannot comment – not enough knowledge 2 Y 3 Y I feel that this is long overdue. However, I do not underestimate how difficult it is to such a review. 4 Y OK, provided that the intention is not to raise everyone to the highest common de 5 Y 6 Y 7 Y 8 Y 9 Y 10 Y 11 Y A definite requirement. I thought we had done this once before and lack of HR intiallowed things to get out of synchronisation. 12 Y 13 Y This would appear logical but I have no information as to the legal, financial and unsuch a review.	erent terms and
Agree Comments 1 NA Cannot comment – not enough knowledge 2 Y 3 Y I feel that this is long overdue. However, I do not underestimate how difficult it is t such a review. 4 Y OK, provided that the intention is not to raise everyone to the highest common de 5 Y 6 Y 7 Y 8 Y 9 Y 10 Y 11 Y A definite requirement. I thought we had done this once before and lack of HR int allowed things to get out of synchronisation. 12 Y 13 Y This would appear logical but I have no information as to the legal, financial and ur such a review.	
1 NA Cannot comment – not enough knowledge 2 Y 3 Y I feel that this is long overdue. However, I do not underestimate how difficult it is to such a review. 4 Y OK, provided that the intention is not to raise everyone to the highest common de 5 Y 6 Y 7 Y 8 Y 9 Y 10 Y 11 Y A definite requirement. I thought we had done this once before and lack of HR into allowed things to get out of synchronisation. 12 Y 13 Y This would appear logical but I have no information as to the legal, financial and unsuch a review.	
2 Y 3 Y I feel that this is long overdue. However, I do not underestimate how difficult it is to such a review. 4 Y OK, provided that the intention is not to raise everyone to the highest common de 5 Y 6 Y 7 Y 8 Y 9 Y 10 Y 11 Y A definite requirement. I thought we had done this once before and lack of HR into allowed things to get out of synchronisation. 12 Y 13 Y This would appear logical but I have no information as to the legal, financial and unsuch a review.	
I feel that this is long overdue. However, I do not underestimate how difficult it is to such a review. 4 Y OK, provided that the intention is not to raise everyone to the highest common de 5 Y 6 Y 7 Y 8 Y 9 Y 10 Y 11 Y A definite requirement. I thought we had done this once before and lack of HR into allowed things to get out of synchronisation. 12 Y This would appear logical but I have no information as to the legal, financial and unsuch a review.	
such a review. 4 Y OK, provided that the intention is not to raise everyone to the highest common de 5 Y 6 Y 7 Y 8 Y 9 Y 10 Y A definite requirement. I thought we had done this once before and lack of HR int allowed things to get out of synchronisation. 12 Y This would appear logical but I have no information as to the legal, financial and ur such a review.	
4 Y OK, provided that the intention is not to raise everyone to the highest common de 5 Y 6 Y 7 Y 8 Y 9 Y 10 Y 11 Y A definite requirement. I thought we had done this once before and lack of HR int allowed things to get out of synchronisation. 12 Y 13 Y This would appear logical but I have no information as to the legal, financial and ur such a review.	is to undertake
5 Y 6 Y 7 Y 8 Y 9 Y 10 Y 11 Y A definite requirement. I thought we had done this once before and lack of HR int allowed things to get out of synchronisation. 12 Y 13 Y This would appear logical but I have no information as to the legal, financial and ur such a review.	
5 Y 6 Y 7 Y 8 Y 9 Y 10 Y 11 Y A definite requirement. I thought we had done this once before and lack of HR int allowed things to get out of synchronisation. 12 Y 13 Y This would appear logical but I have no information as to the legal, financial and ur such a review.	denominator.
6 Y 7 Y 8 Y 9 Y 10 Y 11 Y A definite requirement. I thought we had done this once before and lack of HR int allowed things to get out of synchronisation. 12 Y 13 Y This would appear logical but I have no information as to the legal, financial and ur such a review.	
6 Y 7 Y 8 Y 9 Y 10 Y 11 Y A definite requirement. I thought we had done this once before and lack of HR int allowed things to get out of synchronisation. 12 Y 13 Y This would appear logical but I have no information as to the legal, financial and ur such a review.	
7 Y 8 Y 9 Y 10 Y 11 Y A definite requirement. I thought we had done this once before and lack of HR int allowed things to get out of synchronisation. 12 Y 13 Y This would appear logical but I have no information as to the legal, financial and ur such a review.	
8 Y 9 Y 10 Y 11 Y A definite requirement. I thought we had done this once before and lack of HR int allowed things to get out of synchronisation. 12 Y 13 Y This would appear logical but I have no information as to the legal, financial and ur such a review.	
9 Y 10 Y 11 Y A definite requirement. I thought we had done this once before and lack of HR int allowed things to get out of synchronisation. 12 Y 13 Y This would appear logical but I have no information as to the legal, financial and ur such a review.	
10 Y 11 Y A definite requirement. I thought we had done this once before and lack of HR int allowed things to get out of synchronisation. 12 Y 13 Y This would appear logical but I have no information as to the legal, financial and ur such a review. 14 Y	
11 Y A definite requirement. I thought we had done this once before and lack of HR int allowed things to get out of synchronisation. 12 Y 13 Y This would appear logical but I have no information as to the legal, financial and ur such a review. 14 Y	
allowed things to get out of synchronisation. 12 Y 13 Y This would appear logical but I have no information as to the legal, financial and ur such a review. 14 Y	
12 Y 13 Y This would appear logical but I have no information as to the legal, financial and ur such a review. 14 Y	intervention have
12 Y 13 Y This would appear logical but I have no information as to the legal, financial and ur such a review. 14 Y	
This would appear logical but I have no information as to the legal, financial and ur such a review. 14 Y	
such a review.	union aspects of
14 Y	
I INIV I	
16 Y	
17 NA On going function of LA	
18 NA	
19 Y	
20 Y	

21	NA	It isn't possible to agree or disagree unless there is some definition of what constitutes "appropriate terms and conditions". I could be wrong, but I presume that the subtext is to pay less to some staff and to reduce pension liability.	
	Proposal	6	
	To monitor the impact of other reviews/changes on service levels and outcomes for children.		
		Comments	
1	Agree	Comments	
		Drocumably manitaring will be done using a rebust and consistent set of measures?	
	Υ	Presumably monitoring will be done using a robust and consistent set of measures?	
	Υ	This should be against important to af a 2 years FIT review.	
4		This should be ongoing irrespective of a 3 year EIT review.	
5			
6			
	Υ		
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13	Υ	This is a basic requirement of any organisation. Monitoring the impact of changes is essential.	
14	Υ		
15	Υ		
16	Υ		
17	Υ	On going function of LA	
18	Υ		
19	Υ		
20	Υ		
21	Y	I agree that changes should be monitored, and the bottom line is whether or not we collectively continue to provide a good education for children.	
		But I would like to see some detail on who does the monitoring, how often, and how it is reported.	
	Proposal	7	
	•	premature retirement and redundancy costs	
	Agree	Comments	
-	NA		
	Υ		
3	NA	I feel that I would like more information on this proposal and its impact on the workforce.	
4	N	Don't understand this Proposal-we re-structured management in one school last year and had to fund the departure of an AHT ourselves. The LA refused, so how can you reduce the cost further when you are already not funding redundancies?	
5	Υ	, and an energy manning resistance.	
6			
7			
-	NA	Unsure about this one, don't fully understand what it means	
	Y	The state of the s	
10			
	l. *		

		T
11		
12	Υ	
13	Υ	This would appear to be a sensible proposal to increase funding for the education of pupils. I have no knowledge of the legal, financial or union aspects of such proposed changes.
14	Υ	
15		Early Retirement in some cases is beneficial to staff and school (pupil education
16		
17		Necessary in current climate
18		,
19		
20		
	NA	I think I would need a good deal more background before I can comment on this proposal.
	Additiona	al Comments
1		My observations:-
		 Each proposal has an example outcome already provided. This may stifle further robust analysis that is necessary to ensure maximum benefits Is there a Benefits Plan identified for each of the themes? Linked to the Benefits Plan, what measures are in place to track delivery and effectiveness?
		Elliked to the benefits Fiall, what measures are in place to track delivery and effectiveness:
		Transformation of any organisation will most likely deliver a change in behaviour of its people; improvements in end to end processes; possible IT system solutions and possibly a change in culture. None of the proposals above mention either of these steps – is this really Transformation?
2		
3		
4		Sorry to be so negative, but this whole EIT Review looks like an sham exercise being undertaken to satisfy some form of governmental criteria. Most of the activities detailed in the Proposals should already be being undertaken by the managers/directors of a professional organisation.
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
10		

19	As far as I can see the proposed changes will only benefit and improve the current processes etc in school.
	Our main concerns at present as you already know is the increased workload for the Head and Deputy due to the number of Child Protection issues / conferences and the difficulties regarding the new SLA booklet / process which currently has not improved our purchasing process. We will also be researching Acadamy status.
20	
21	Our GB meets on 22nd February, after the date for response to this consultation. However, I will circulate the document to other governors and discuss it at that meeting, since I note that another draft will be produced between February and March.
	All of the above comments reflect my personal views as Chair of the Governing Body of The Links Primary School, and are not necessarily representative of the views of other governors or our HT.